Law, Gospel and Lawlessness in the Public Square

"...whoever knows well this art of distinguishing between Law and Gospel, him place at the head and call him a doctor of the Holy Scripture" 1

1. Introduction

1.1. 'Good News For The Public Square'

The book.

1.2. As a legal consumer

Simultaneously enjoying freedoms and a world where rules breed like rabbits - Kafka-esque

1.3. Prof Julian Rivers

1.3.1. Juridification

1.3.2. The blurring of civil law and morality

1.4. What we're covering tonight - 'Law, Gospel and Lawlessness in the Public Square'

- 1.4.1. The Law-Gospel debate
- 1.4.2. The civil law Gospel debate
- 1.5. Our Text Galatians 2:14

2. Visions of the Christian life

2.1. Churches as mirrors

2.2. Churches as total, exclusive immersion

2.3. Differences

Defining themselves against each other?

2.4. Commonalities

Both produce very 'safe' citizens for the modern state

© M.J.Ovey 2014 Page 1

-

¹ Martin Luther

3. Luther's principle and Galatians 2:14

3.1. Luther's guiding principle

If you have not defended the Gospel precisely where it is under attack, you have not defended it.

3.2. Luther's gospel principle and the public square

3.2.1. A distraction?

3.2.2. The Gospel attacked from the public square

3.2.2.1. An attack from law

3.2.2.2. *A paradox*

The gospel is denied because in the public square, people are lawless by being under their own law

4. The law-gospel question

4.1. Law vs Gospel

4.1.1. Under grace not law

4.1.2. Promising the same thing

The promise of life

4.1.3. Repentance and law

Repentance consists of:

- contrition and
- faith.

'We say that contrition is the genuine terror of a conscience that feels God's wrath against sin and is sorry that it has sinned. This contrition takes place when the Word of God denounces sin.'²

The law is 'to lead men to a knowledge of their sin'3

4.1.4. Repentance, law and love

No law means a different Gospel means a different love

----> Bonhoeffer's 'cheap grace'4

© M.J.Ovey 2014 Page 2

² Apology of the Augsburg Confession art 12 on Penitence.

³ Formula of Concord, Epitome art 6.

⁴ Cost of Discipleship

4.1.5. The counter-intuitive loss of law in a law-based society

4.2. Two features of our public square – and their lawlessness

4.2.1. Democracy

4.2.1.1. Democratic tyranny

Sour grapes? No, a precise analytical term in Christian theology

4.2.1.2. Democratic tyranny as lawless

Salutati: the tyrant as one who refuses the law of the one who makes him lord.

De Tocqueville – the untrammeled nature of the majority, ultimately⁵

4.2.2. Individual rights

4.2.2.1. Rights or entitlement?

J.M . Twenge – the measurable growth in narcissism – 'I'm royalty'/'don't you know who I am?'

4.2.2.2. Entitlement rights as unearned

4.2.2.3. Rights rather than duties

G. Mazzini: the Duties of Man

4.3. the theme of lawlessness

- I am the final reference point, or I and my friends, are.
- -----> not under law
- -----> abolishes the gospel.

5. The civil law question

5.1. Human law as a good

'to maintain external obedience against unruly and disobedient men'6

© M.J.Ovey 2014 Page 3

⁵ Democracy in America.

⁶ Formula of Concord, Epitome. Article 6

5.2. Juridification - the lawless bringing more and more under law

The irony that the lawless are using law more and more

5.3. Law-morality distinction – secular laws as having moral authority

e.g. Race Relations Act 1967 – but how much more?!

6. A Luther-style synthesis

6.1. Religiosity the enemy of true religion

- Religiosity as law-bloated
- Religiosity as lawless
- Lawlessness as the closet sister of legalism

6.2. Our Law as the enemy of God's law

- Our law as law-bloated
- Our law as lawless
- Our law resents Christian faith:
 - o Its law-bloatedness sees us as lawless
 - Its lawlessness sees us as legalist

-----> intervene by its law to curtail our 'legalism' and our 'lawlessness'

7. Our law as the enemy of the Gospel

© M.J.Ovey 2014 Page 4